UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

SOUTHERN DIVISION	
In re:	
ARK LABORATORY, LLC,	Case No. 23-43403-MLO Chapter 11
Debtor.	Hon. Maria L. Oxholm
JASON W. BANK, SOLELY IN HIS CAPACITY AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE ARK LABORATORY TRUST,	
Plaintiff, v.	Adv. P. Case No. 23-04496-MLO
JAMES A. GROSSI, an Individual, 2210/305 LLC, a Michigan limited liability Company, BRIAN TIERNEY, Individually and as Trustee of the TIERNEY FAMILY TRUST DATED 12/21/2018, NAMEER KIMINAIA, Individually and as Trustee of the NAMEE KIMINAIA LIVING TRUST DATED 5/3 TIERNEY FAMILY TRUST DATED 12/21/2018, NAMEER KIMINAIA LIVING TRUST DATED 5/31/2019, HAMID SATTAR, ION DIAGNOSTICS, Michigan limited liability company, and IC MARKETING, LCC, a Michigan limited licompany,	IR 1/2019, LLC, a DN
Defendants.	

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Jason W. Bank, Successor Trustee appointed by order of this Court

on July 2, 2024, solely in his capacity as Successor Trustee of the Ark Laboratory Trust (the "Successor Trustee"), and Defendant 2210/305, LLC, through their respective counsel, stipulate to entry of the *Stipulated Consent Judgment*, attached as **Exhibit 1** hereto.

(SIGNATURES FOLLOW ON NEXT PAGE)

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

Dated: July 10, 2024

TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER, LLP

By: /s/ Judith Greenstone Miller
Judith Greenstone Miller (P29208)
Kimberly Ross Clayson (P69804)
27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500
Southfield, Michigan 48034
(248) 351-3000
jgmiller@taftlaw.com
kclayson@taftlaw.com

David H. Wallace (Ohio Bar No. 0037210) 200 Public Square Suite 3500 Cleveland, OH 44114-2302 (216) 241-2838 dwallace@taftlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Jason W. Bank, Solely in his Capacity as Successor Trustee of the Ark Laboratory Trust

LEFKOWITZ LAW GROUP

By: /s/Morris B. Lefkowtiz
Morris B. Lefkowtiz (P31335)
29777 Telegraph Road, Ste. 2440
Southfield, MI 48034
248-559-0180
morrie@lefkowitzlawgroup.com

Counsel for Defendant, 2210/305, LLC

EXHIBIT 1 PROPOSED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

SOUTIERNI	JI V ISIOIN
In re:	
ARK LABORATORY, LLC,	Case No. 23-43403-MLO Chapter 11
Debtor.	Hon. Maria L. Oxholm
JASON W. BANK, SOLELY IN HIS CAPACITY AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE ARK LABORATORY TRUST,	
Plaintiff, v.	Adv. P. Case No. 23-04496-MLC
JAMES A. GROSSI, an Individual, 2210/305 LLC, a Michigan limited liability Company, BRIAN TIERNEY, Individually and as Trustee of the TIERNEY FAMILY TRUST DATED 12/21/2018, NAMEER KIMINAIA, Individually and as Trustee of the NAMEER KIMINAIA LIVING TRUST DATED 5/31 TIERNEY FAMILY TRUST DATED 12/21/2018, NAMEER KIMINAIA LIVING TRUST DATED 12/21/2018, NAMEER KIMINAIA LIVING TRUST DATED 5/31/2019, HAMID SATTAR, ION DIAGNOSTICS, I Michigan limited liability company, and IOMARKETING, LCC, a Michigan limited liability company,	/2019, LLC, a N
Defendants.	

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court for consideration upon the Stipulation for

Entry of Stipulated Consent Judgment [ECF No. __] ("Stipulation"); the Court having read same and being otherwise fully and duly advised in the premises;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court FINDS, ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff filed a complaint (the "Complaint") [ECF No. 1] on December 22, 2023, thereby commencing this Adversary Proceeding against various parties, including Defendant 2210/305 LLC ("2210/305").
 - 2. Plaintiff alleged the following counts in the Complaint against 2210/305:
 - A. Count III, for aiding and abetting breaches of the fiduciary duties of care and loyalty by Defendants James A. Grossi ("Grossi"), Brian Tierney ("Tierney"), Nameer Kiminaia ("Kiminaia") and Hamid Sattar ("Sattar") pursuant to 11 U.S. C. § 544 and applicable Michigan Common Law;
 - B. Count VI, for avoidance of voidable transactions pursuant to 11 U.S. C. § 544(b) and M.C.L. 566.34(1)(a) for avoidance of the of each of the Redemption Transfers, the \$1 Million Transfers and the \$225,000 Transfers;
 - C. Count XI, for avoidance of preferential transfers to insiders pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(b) for the One-Year Transfers identified on Exhibit A attached to the Complaint in the aggregate amount of \$603,282.16;

23-04496-mlo Doc 182 Filed 07/10/24 Entered 07/10/24 14:51:06 Page 6 of 10

¹ Capitalized terms contained herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Complaint unless otherwise stated to the contrary herein.

- D. Count XII, for avoidance of fraudulent transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A) for the Two-Year Transfers identified on Exhibit B attached to the Complaint in the aggregate amount of \$2,165,003.95;
- E. Count XIII, for avoidance of fraudulent transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B) for the Two-Year Transfers identified on Exhibit B attached to the Complaint in the aggregate amount of \$2,165,003.95.
- F. Count IV, for avoidance of Post-Petition Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 549(a) identified on Exhibit C attached to the Complaint in the aggregate amount of \$38,131.14;
- G. Count XV, for avoidance of voidable transactions pursuant to 11 U.S. C. § 544(b) and M.C.L. 566.34(1)(a) for the Six-Year Transfers identified in Exhibit D attached to the Complaint in the aggregate amount of \$1,192,000.00;
- H. Count XVI, for avoidance of voidable transactions pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and M.C.L. 566.34(1)(b) for the Six-Year Transfers identified in Exhibit D attached to the Complaint in the aggregate amount of \$1,192,000.00; and
- I. Count XVII, for avoidance of voidable fraudulent transactions pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and M.C.L. 566.35(2) for transfers that were made upon antecedent debt to insiders in the amount of \$1,192,000.00; and

J. Count XIX, for recovery of the Redemption Transfers, the One-Year Transfers, the Post-Petition Transfers, the Two-Year Transfers and the Six-Year Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 550(a)(1) and (2) identified in Exhibit E attached to the Complaint; (collectively, the "Counts").

- 3. Notwithstanding its Answer and Affirmative Defenses filed to the Complaint [ECF No. 56], 2210/305 no longer contests the allegations made by Plaintiff in the Counts contained in the Complaint and agrees to entry of a judgment against it for each of the Counts set forth above.
- 4. Accordingly, judgment shall be, and hereby is, entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant 2210/305 in the total amount of \$70,426,541.25² plus interest that has accrued since the filing of the Complaint in the amount of \$2,099,121.91 for a total sum of \$72,525,663.10 (the "Judgment Amount"). The Judgment Amount shall accrue interest at the rate of 5.359 percent (5.359%) per annum. Additionally, Plaintiff shall be entitled to payment of all attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the enforcement and collection of this Stipulated Consent Judgment and in any bankruptcy proceeding related to 2210/305.

² The Complaint sought judgment in an amount in excess of \$72 million based on total amount of claims on the Debtor's Claims Register as of the date the Complaint was filed, as of the date of this Consent Judgment, the total amount of claims on the Debtor's Claims Register has been reduced to \$70,426,541.25.

- 5. This Consent Judgment is granted without prejudice to Plaintiff's claims against the remaining Defendants pursuant to the Counts described herein and is without prejudice to Plaintiff's claims pursuant to other counts of the Complaint not applicable to 2210/305.
- 6. Notwithstanding the entry of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, 2210/305 shall have the continuing obligation to cooperate in discovery promulgated by Plaintiff without the necessity of Plaintiff serving 2210/305 a subpoena.

6. Within 14 days of the entry of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, 2210/305 shall provide Plaintiff with a current financial statement, including a balance sheet, income statement and a listing of all of its assets, certified by the member of 2210/305 as being true and accurate. In addition, to the extent that Plaintiff desires to take a creditors' exam of 2210/305, 2210/305 shall submit to such examination upon reasonable advance notice from Plaintiff without a need to obtain an order or to issue a subpoena.